THE PERSISTENCE OF STAPHYLOCOCCUS AUREUS ON HOSPITAL PRIVACY CURTAINS.
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ABSTRACT

While healthcare professionals are working in hospitals, they will often manipulate the privacy curtains during the care of their patients. Studies have shown that the transfer of bacteria from hands to the curtains and vice versa is possible. Despite the possibility of hospital curtains being a mode of infection transmission, studies have shown that 53% of hospitals surveyed did not have a policy for cleaning or changing their curtains. The question that this study focused on was whether curtain material affects the persistence of Staphylococcus aureus. In this study, five different curtain types were inoculated with overnight, diluted, and finger imprint cultures of S. aureus. They were incubated at room temperature and were sampled for growth regularly onto Mannitol Salt Agar plates. The colonies were counted, and one-way ANOVA statistical analysis was completed on the data. The statistical analysis showed that the length of persistence of liquid cultures of S. aureus on the curtains was not dependent upon initial concentration. Finger imprint inoculations of four curtain varieties had statistically significant longer persistence times than the liquid cultures. Only the curtain type composed of 100% antimicrobial polyester with water repellent had significantly lower persistence times for the finger imprint culture than the other four curtains. The results suggest that the 100% inherently flame resistant antimicrobial polyester curtain material reduces S. aureus persistence times and that it may benefit hospitals to use this type of curtain.

INTRODUCTION

The environment of the hospital has an important role in the transmission of health-care associated pathogens which cause nosocomial infections. Studies have found that hospital privacy curtains are quickly contaminated by microorganisms that can be transferred to the hands of healthcare workers, the patient, and the surrounding environment (21, 30). In 1988, there was an outbreak of multiple-antibiotic-resistant Acinetobacter baumannii in the Queen Elizabeth Hospital in Birmingham, UK (7). After an investigation, carbapenem-resistant A. baumannii was isolated from surfaces of equipment, beds, mops, and curtains. It was determined that the curtains were the main source of the outbreak because they had the highest number of the organism present on them (7).

In addition to the curtains being easily contaminated with pathogens that can be transferred via the hands to people or other surfaces, some bacteria can persist on hospital surfaces, including curtains, for weeks and even months (15, 19, 20). Persistence in this case refers to the ability of an organism to survive and be cultured from a surface (15). A study by DeAngelis and Khakoo (8) indicated that these lengthy persistence times are problematic. Their study included surveys completed by hospitals on curtain cleaning policies. Over half of the hospitals surveyed (53%) said they do not have a policy for cleaning the hospital curtains, 37% said they only clean their curtains when visibly soiled, 13% clean the curtains every year, 13% clean their curtains every three months, and only 13% clean their curtains every month. In other words, the most frequently some hospitals change their curtains is every month. Since only 13% of the total hospitals surveyed clean their curtains that often, this means most of the hospitals either do not have a cleaning policy or change the curtains every three months or greater. Mitchell et al. (2015) noted that the attention
put into cleaning porous, soft surfaces such as privacy curtains and room furnishings is far less than that given towards disinfection of porous surfaces (18). As there are nosocomial pathogens such as Staphylococcus aureus, Klebsiella quasipneumoniae subsp. similipneumoniae (formerly known as K. pneumoniae) (10), and Candida albicans that can last on hospital surfaces for longer than four months (15), this three month cleaning interval may not be frequent enough. This suggests there needs to be more frequent cleaning and a change of policy.

Despite the importance of the topic, there has not been a large amount of research completed on the topic of hospital curtains and bacteria or bacterial infections. The studies completed so far have varied substantially in their inoculation technique and their analytical methods. Some have taken finger imprints from curtains actively hanging in hospital rooms (3, 14, 16, 17, 21, 22, 24). Others have inoculated known concentrations of bacteria onto specified areas of curtain swatches (13, 27) or hospital fabric (20). These studies have also differed in duration from as short as seven days (17) to as long as six months (3, 14, 25). There are different varieties of hospital curtains available including those containing antimicrobial fabric and some coated with water repellant. However, most studies only used one type of curtain and this varied from vinyl (21) to flame resistant (FR) (22), propylene (14), disposable sporicidal (13), and polyester (16, 24), amongst others. Only two studies (20, 24) were shown to compare more than one type of curtain, and only three studies used statistical tests to analyze their results (3, 17, 24). Contradictory results of previous studies include some that have showed a high rate of Methicillin Resistant S. aureus (MRSA) on curtains (21) and those that have not (16). Kurashige (2016) mentions that curtain type may influence these results and should be further examined.

This study intended to expand on the existing research. The focus was on S. aureus, a species of pathogenic bacteria that causes nosocomial infections and has been shown to be capable of developing antibiotic resistance to become hospital-associated MRSA (9). This study combined aspects of previous findings by measuring the persistence times of S. aureus inoculated both directly with a known concentration or by fingerprint on five different curtain types. These curtain types included three different combinations of FR and non-FR polyester with no antimicrobial properties and two antimicrobial polyester curtains, one with and one without water repellant. We hypothesize that the composition of the different curtain types, specifically the presence of antimicrobial properties, will influence the persistence of S. aureus.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Curtain Varieties and Codes

Five different curtain varieties were used in this study. Each curtain was given a code for ease of designation and to prevent bias on the part of the experimenter when counting colony-forming units (CFUs) and persistence times. The curtain varieties and codes used in this study can be found in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Curtain Code</th>
<th>Curtain Material</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>46% FR polyester, 27% post-consumer recycled polyester, and 27% post-industrial recycled polyester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>87% polyester, 13% Axygen FR polyester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>52% post-consumer recycled FR polyester, 48% FR polyester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>56.6% Amy antimicrobial polyester, 43.4% recycled FR polyester</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>100% inherently FR antimicrobial polyester with water repellant</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Composition and codes of the five different curtains used in this study.
direct contact of a contaminated fluid sample with the curtain. Measurement of the OD600 on a Genesys 10S UV-Vis spectrophotometer (Thermo Scientific) indicated this was equivalent to a total bacterial load of 2.0 x 10^7 cfu or a density of 5.0 x 10^6 cfu/cm^2. Method two (Diluted liquid culture technique) – Curtain squares were inoculated with 20 μl of a liquid O/N culture of *S. aureus* diluted to an OD600 of 0.08 in a sterile 0.9% (w/v) sodium chloride solution. This was equivalent to a total bacteria load of 1.3 x 10^6 cfu or a density of 2.7 x 10^4 cfu/cm^2. This OD600 was chosen as the diluted culture standard as it closely represents the OD¬600 value of the 0.5 McFarland standard used for inoculated samples during antimicrobial susceptibility testing (6). A diluted sample was included to see if the persistence of *S. aureus* was dependent on the initial concentration inoculated and to represent direct contact between curtains and diluted fluid samples. Method three (Colony finger imprint technique) – Curtain squares were inoculated with a colony of *S. aureus* by touching the colony with a gloved finger and pressing it onto the curtain piece, making sure to equally touch each area of the curtain. The colonies of *S. aureus* used for this step were similar in size and obtained from a quadrant streaked mannitol salt agar (MSA) plate that was incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. Curtain E was the only curtain where the overnight and diluted cultures were not inoculated onto the curtain. Only the finger imprint technique was used to inoculate this curtain because it is water repellant and was not able to absorb the liquid cultures. After the curtain squares were inoculated, they were left to dry in separate sterile petri dishes for 20 minutes.

**RESULTS**

After inoculation and drying, an initial measure of bacterial growth was aseptically taken from each curtain square. A flame sterilized pair of tweezers was used to hold the curtain square steady while a sterile cotton swab dipped in a sterile 0.9% (w/v) sodium chloride solution was used to evenly sample each curtain with 10 strokes (five down and five up). This cotton swab was then used to evenly, continuously streak onto an MSA plate that was incubated at 37°C for 48 hours. After incubation, the number of CFU on each plate was counted. If more than 300 CFU were present on the plate, it was recorded as too numerous to count. Curtain squares were maintained at room temperature in sterile lidded petri dishes to represent the equivalent environment of a hospital setting. Swabbed samples of *S. aureus* were taken from the squares at a maximum of three day intervals until growth of five CFU or less was seen on an MSA plate incubated at 37°C for 48 hours for two consecutive samplings. To conserve resources, this was modified from Neely and Maley (2000), who sampled until no CFU were seen for two consecutive samplings, as five or fewer CFU was considered sufficient decline to end the measurement of persistence. Once all of the data were collected, the number of days that the *S. aureus* persisted on each sample of each curtain type was counted. The day that the curtain pieces were inoculated and initially swabbed was considered day zero. All of this data were compiled and analyzed using one-way ANOVA statistics with the Tukey honestly significant difference test.

The persistence time of *S. aureus* was measured (in days) and compared for the three different inoculation methods on curtain varieties A, B, C, and D. The comparisons of the mean persistence times from the three inoculation techniques for each type of curtain are shown in Fig. 1.

All curtain varieties in Fig. 1 showed significantly longer persistence times after inoculation of a colony using the finger imprint technique compared to inoculation of a concentrated or diluted O/N liquid culture. Curtains A, B, and C showed a p-value of < 0.0001 while the p-value for D was less than 0.05. There was no significant difference in the persistence times between inoculation of a concentrated or diluted O/N culture for any of these curtain types.

The mean persistence times for *S. aureus* inoculated using concentrated O/N liquid cultures and diluted liquid cultures was compared for the four curtain varieties (A, B, C, and D). These mean persistence times are shown in Figs. 2 and 3, respectively. The persistence time of *S. aureus* on curtain C was significantly longer than the other curtain types (p-value < 0.01). The mean persistence time for curtain varieties A, B, and D ranged from 7.76 to 10.0 days while the
mean persistence time for curtain C was 14.5 days. Interestingly, there was no statistically significant difference between the mean persistence times of S. aureus on these four curtain varieties when inoculated with a diluted O/N culture. The mean persistence times ranged from 9.83 to 13.3 days.

A comparison of the mean persistence times for S. aureus inoculated using the colony finger imprint technique on all curtain varieties is shown in Fig. 4. The mean persistence time of S. aureus inoculated onto curtain variety E was significantly less than the four other curtain varieties (p-value < 0.0001). The mean persistence time for the four other curtain varieties ranged from 22.0 days to 27.0 days while it was only 4.0 days for curtain E.

There was no significant difference in the number of S. aureus CFUs recovered over time from each curtain type (data not shown). Regardless of the inoculation method, all curtain types A-E showed an initial high number of CFUs that decreased at a steady pace before the bacteria were no longer able to persist. Great variation independent of curtain type was seen in the specific numbers of CFUs at any given time point, but the general decline was consistent.

**DISCUSSION**

When comparing the mean persistence times of the O/N and diluted cultures of each of the curtains A, B, C, and D, there was no difference between the O/N and diluted cultures. In other words, the persistence following liquid inoculation was not dependent on initial concentration. On the other hand, there was a statistically significant difference between mean persistence times of the finger imprint cultures and both the overnight and diluted cultures for all of these curtains. In addition, mean persistence time of S. aureus inoculated by the finger imprint lasted much longer than the other cultures curtain varieties A, B, C, and D, implying that the finger imprint inoculation method did have an effect on persistence times, lasting more than 10 days longer than the O/N or diluted cultures. There was no significant difference in the initial CFU counts for any of the inoculation methods (data not shown). These data suggested that the solid inoculation of a bacterial colony increased the persistence of S. aureus compared to a liquid inoculation.

To our knowledge, no research comparing the persistence times of bacteria inoculated from both liquid and solid cultures has been published. Kotsanas et al. (2012) inoculated curtain samples with liquid cultures and took finger imprints at specified time points to culture from the curtain and measure persistence (13). They found S. aureus to persist for 10 days but show no growth at 2 months. This is consistent with the range of mean persistence times of 8.50 to 14.5 days we measured from our liquid inoculations. Interestingly, they found < 10 CFU after one day of incubation when culturing by the finger imprint method and incubating at 37°C on horse blood agar, an enriched media (11). By comparison, our CFU values from curtains incubated for one day following liquid inoculation and cultured by swabbing and incubating at 37°C on MSA were consistently higher than 10 CFUs for all curtain types (data not shown). The counts ranged from a low of 13 CFUs to a countable high of 123 CFUs. Of the 33 samples for which the CFU count after one day is available, 15 were found to be too numerous to count. The curtains in Korvath et al. (2012) were inoculated with 4 x 105 CFU/cm2 of culture, comparable to the 5.0 x 106 and 2.7 x 104 CFU/cm2 in the O/N and diluted samples, respectively. These data suggested the swabbing technique may be a more thorough method for culturing from the entire curtain sample than taking a finger imprint from the center of the curtain (13).

With the comparison of the persistence time from S. aureus inoculated from concentrated O/N cultures on curtains A, B, C, and D, there was statistical significance between curtain C and A, B, and D (p < 0.01). C had the longest persistence time of all of the overnight cultures with an average of 14.5 days as opposed to the averages of 8.50, 10.0, and 7.67 days for curtains A, B, and D, respectively. This statistical significance suggested that the curtain material of C had an effect on the persistence of the S. aureus concentrated O/N culture. Interestingly, these results were not reproducible when comparing persistence of S. aureus grown from diluted O/N cultures. This suggests a possible concentration-dependency on the persistence of S. aureus on this particular curtain material though the lack of statistical difference between the persistence of S. aureus from concentrated and diluted O/N cultures would conflict this. Additional research is needed to address these conflicting results.

The main distinction in curtain material between curtain C and the others is that it had the highest percentage of post-consumer recycled FR polyester.
**Figure 1:** Mean Persistence Times of *S. aureus* from Different Inoculation of Techniques:
The mean persistence times in days for curtain varieties A (Panel A), B (Panel B), C (Panel C), and D (Panel D) are shown after inoculation by concentrated O/N culture, diluted O/N culture (Dil), or colony finger imprint technique (FP). The O/N and D cultures contained 2.0 x 10^7 and 1.3 x 10^6 CFU, respectively. Each bar represents the mean persistence time ± standard deviation of n = 6 inoculated samples. Statistical analysis was completed using a one-way ANOVA (* indicates statistical significance with a p-value < 0.05).

**Figure 2 – Mean Persistence Times of *S. aureus* Inoculated from a Concentrated Liquid O/N Culture:** The mean persistence times in days for curtain varieties A, B, C, and D inoculated with a concentrated O/N culture of *S. aureus* are shown. The O/N culture contained 2.0 x 10^7 CFU. Each bar represents the mean persistence time ± standard deviation of n = 6 inoculated samples. Statistical analysis was completed using a one-way ANOVA (* indicates statistical significance with a p-value < 0.05).
The mean persistence times in days for curtain varieties A, B, C, and D inoculated with a diluted (Dil) O/N culture of *S. aureus* are shown. The D culture contained 1.3 x 10^6 CFU. Each bar represents the mean persistence time ± standard deviation of n = 6 inoculated samples. Statistical analysis was completed using a one-way ANOVA.

The mean persistence times in days for curtain varieties A, B, C, D, and E inoculated by the finger imprint method (FP) are shown. Each bar represents the mean persistence time ± standard deviation of n = 6 inoculated samples. Statistical analysis was completed using a one-way ANOVA (\* indicates statistical significance with a p-value < 0.05).
needed comparing the persistence times of curtains A, B, C, and D to the 100% inherently FR antimicrobial polyester without water repellant.

These results have an important implication in the hospital setting because the finger imprint cultures are a better representation of the inoculation method that would take place in the hospital. For example, in the hospital, healthcare professionals might touch the source of infection with their gloved hands and then touch the curtain. It may benefit hospitals to use this type of high percentage antimicrobial water repellant curtain to reduce persistence times within the hospital, especially in areas like the Emergency Department where contamination can occur quickly and frequently with high patient turnover rates. However, as curtain E was the only water repellant curtain examined in this study, more investigation with water repellant curtains both with and without antimicrobial composition would need to be done to confirm this.

For the purposes of resources, the persistence times of S. aureus alone were investigated in this study, and samples from the curtains could only be taken every 1-3 days instead of every day. While S. aureus is important to study because it is a type of MRSA, it is also a commensal organism that colonizes up to 30% of the human population (32) and is a leading cause of bacteremia, infectious endocarditis, and device-related infections (5, 28). Additionally, there are other important nosocomial infection-causing bacteria to study, including K. quasipneumoniae subsp. similipneumoniae, Acinetobacter baumannii, and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (1, 2, 4, 23, 29). These other bacteria may have different persistence times on these curtains than S. aureus and may be affected differently by the curtain materials. The persistence times of a variety of bacteria on various curtain types should be measured to determine how different curtain material can affect persistence. Ideally these persistence times would be measured by culturing from the curtain samples every day. Future studies will specifically measure the persistence times of Enterococcus faecalis, Escherichia coli, and P. aeruginosa on these different curtain types as these represent three common causes of nosocomial infections (12, 26, 31) and exemplify three species that contain multi-drug resistant strains including vancomycin-resistant Enterococcus for E. faecalis, extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant E. coli, and carbapenem-resistant P. aeruginosa (26).
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